

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,793	11/02/2001	Jacobus Christianus Johannes Stiekema	O/97277 US/D1	3402
75	90 11/05/2002	•		
WILLIAM M. BLACKSTON AKZO NOBEL PATENT DEPARTMENT SUITE 206 1300 PICCARD DRIVE ROCKVILLE, MD 20850			EXAMINER	
			DI NOLA BARON, LILIANA	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002 7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/005,793	JOHANNES STIEKEMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Liliana Di Nola-Baron	1615			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR·1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 S	September 2002 .				
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.				
3)						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/005,793

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of Applicant's amendment, filed on September 25, 2002, is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petitou et al.

The claimed invention refers to methods for preventing clotting in an extracorporeal blood circuit of a patient, comprising administering a pentasaccharide or a salt thereof.

Petitou et al. discloses sulfated glycosaminoglycanoid derivatives of heparin, and the pentasaccharides claimed in the instant application among them, and teaches that the compounds have antithrombotic activity and can be administered enterally or parenterally, including by injection, in a daily dosage of 0.001-10 mg. per kg. body weight for the treatment of venous thrombosis (See e.g., col. 1, line 1 to col. 5, line 5 and examples).

Thus, Petitou et al. provides the compounds used in the methods of the instant application and teaches that said compounds have antithrombotic activity and may be administered enterally or parenterally, as injection preparations. Petitou et al. does not specifically disclose preventing

Application/Control Number: 10/005,793

Art Unit: 1615

clotting in an extracorporeal blood circuit of a patient, however, it provides the general teachings that the compounds of the invention have antithrombotic activity (See e.g., Abstract and col. 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to administer the sulfated glycosaminoglycanoid derivatives of heparin disclosed by Petitou et al. by injection to patients undergoing extracorporeal blood treatment, to prevent clotting in the extracorporeal device. The expected result would have been a successful method for preventing blood clotting. Because of the teachings of Petitou et al., that sulfated glycosaminoglycanoid derivatives have antithrombotic activity and can be administered by injection, one of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation that the methods claimed in the instant application would be successful. Therefore the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed on September 25, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. Applicant argues that the prior art disclosure relates to the activity of the compounds internally, within the patient, and preventing clotting in an extracorporeal blood circuit is a clinically different use. In response to said argument, it is noted that Applicant's claimed method reads on administering the compounds of the invention to the patient for preventing clotting, as disclosed by the prior art. The fact that Applicant has recognized another advantage, which

Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art, cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

Conclusion

- 5. Claims 11-18 stand rejected.
- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Liliana Di Nola-Baron whose telephone number is 703-308-8318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 5:30AM-4:00PM.

Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234/1235.

LONG3

October 31, 2002

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PAYENT EXAMINER TECHYULIGY CENTER 1000